

## WEST TISBURY HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION

### MEETING MINUTES

Date of Hearing: January 29, 2007

Attending: Sean Conley, Marcia Cini, Nancy Dole, Lanny McDowell, Anne Fisher

Absent: Ben Moore, Mark Mazer

Also Attending: Lawrence and Carol Brandon, Marjorie Potts, Bill Milakeve from Island Pools and Spa

Meeting convened at 5:30 PM.

We looked at the Nachbar application for a 5 foot plank wood fence and pool and spa. Plans were submitted for other fences as well, of different design and material, that the applicant did not think were visible from the public way. We discussed approving only the wooden fence, pool and spa, but not the other fences, and having the applicant come back at a later time for approval of the other fences or a determination they were not visible.

After discussion, we decided to continue the hearing to Monday, March 5<sup>th</sup>, in order to have time to readvertise it, because the scope of the work that might be visible was more than we had expected.

The applicant must apply for all the fences, including the ones they do not think are visible, and with better information, we can make our own decision as to visibility. There were also problems with the plans the applicant had submitted, which necessitated that we continue the hearing. Additional materials are needed. The plan submitted of the site as it is now was not accurate. The proposed plan shows a three car garage that is not there, and has not been applied for, and shows a pool equipment area behind it that is not described and would be visible because there is no garage. The applicant's representative did not know what the plans for housing the pool equipment might be. It was not clear if the wood fences to each side of the house and the wood gate proposed were exactly what would be built. It was not clear if the two wood fence photos submitted were the same fence. The fences have to match. It was also noted that shrubbery would help to mitigate the appearance of the plank wood fence.

We asked the applicant to come back with the following materials:

\*A plan that showed the property site exactly as it is now, with photos to document the appearance of any area on the site where proposed changes are to occur.

\*An accurate, detailed plan of the changes that are requested. This plan should not include structures that are not presently existing unless those structures are being applied for as well, in which case we need scale drawings of the proposed buildings, including a materials list: specifically the three car garage and also the pool equipment shed.

\*Catalog cuts and proposed finishes of all the various fences applied for by the applicant, including the aluminum picket fence, contemporary in nature, which may be visible. For example, we need to know if the bronze finish on the proposed aluminum fence is shiny, flat, are other finishes available. Catalog cut showing color of finish would be needed. In general, the WTHDC prefers that fences be of natural materials if they are visible. If the proposed plank wood fence is not a catalog cut, but is to be custom, then we need accurate drawings from the contractor. The expectation is that what will be built will be exactly what is submitted.

\*We asked the applicant to apply for the circular driveway that has been built without HDC permission, and that will be included in the advertised hearing notice.

That concluded the hearing. We met to work on the 3<sup>rd</sup> draft of the design guidelines cover letter and revised application for a certificate which we feel is needed to provide applicants with a better idea of what we expect in an application, and what we consider when making a decision. We will meet February 12<sup>th</sup> to make the final draft.

Meeting adjourned.

Respectfully submitted by

Nancy Dole, Secretary